Crack Pattern Investigation in the Structural Members of a Framed Two-Floor Building due to Excavation-Induced Ground Movement
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Abstract—Increased urbanization causes traffic and parking issues especially in metropolitan cities like Karachi, London, Shanghai, etc. To accommodate parking issues for the vehicles mainly in urban areas (excavated) underground parking areas under or nearby high rise buildings are preferred. As a result of excavation, ground movements occur that have major impact on structures, buildings and utilities. The past research usually oversimplified surface structure as an equivalent elastic beam, which is unable to represent the behavior of a framed building realistically. In this study, the detrimental effects (i.e. crack pattern) on a two floor RCC framed building founded on piles due to an adjacent excavation-induced ground movement are investigated. Elasto-plastic coupled-consolidation analysis was adopted. The hypoplastic constitutive model was used to capture soil behavior. It is an advanced model which is able to capture the soil unique features which are non-linear behavior, stiffness degradation (with stress, strain & path dependent), and stress-strain dependent soil dilatancy. The concrete damaged plasticity (CDP) model was used to capture the cracking behavior in the concrete beams, columns and piles. It was revealed that the induced slope and tilting are not equal. Consequently, the frame was distorted. As a result, tension cracks were induced at the inner side of the column.
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I. INTRODUCTION

High rise building load is transferred to the surrounding soil through pile foundations. As a result, high stress regime is generated around the pile [1]. On the other hand, ground excavations result in ground movement due to induced-stress release [2]. With the increase in population, urbanization is also increasing, causing traffic and parking issues, especially in metropolitan cities. To accommodate parking issues, mainly in urban areas, excavations under or nearby high rise buildings are preferred. As a result, ground movements occur that have major impact on nearby structures [3]. Excavations carried out near a building may cause its distortion, affect pile foundation or even cause collapse. It is important for a geotechnical engineer to assess these dangerous situations when an excavation is carried out nearby a building. To cope with parking issues on congested cities, underground transportation systems (underground parking) have been developed. These excavations are sometimes unavoidable to be constructed adjacent to existing buildings. This condition leads to the challenge of assessing and protecting the integrity of the framed building.

Authors in [3] investigated the damage mechanism and behavior of a framed building, on shallow foundation with separate footing and different infill configuration, due to tunnelling induced ground movements, by carrying out a series of numerical analyses. They concluded that the sensitive performance of a framed building on stiffer ground is more than that on softer ground. Besides that, the infill configuration plays a significant role in the performance of a framed building. When infill walls are subjected to tunnelling caused ground movements, the structure distortion is significantly reduced. Authors in [4] investigated the effects of selected geotechnical and structural parameters on the response of masonry buildings subjected to tunnelling induced settlements. A sensitivity study has been carried out to determine the influence of building cracking and soil structure interface parameters. It was concluded that the high dependency of the...
study the effects of a multi propped deep excavation on the
carried out three centrifuge tests which were performed to
separate bending stiffness of all the floor slabs. Authors in [11]
interaction in the building response. Authors in [10] suggested
a 2D finite element model that was validated by comparing
design curves. Authors in [1] carried out a sensitivity study on
extensive parametric analyses to improve the existing damage
material cracking suggests that this model could be used in
way as induced settlement tunnelling impacts existing adjacent
buildings. Authors in [9] compared the response of different
types of buildings founded on shallow foundation, which are
subjected to excavation induced ground settlement, and
provided a better understanding of the complex soil structure
interaction in the building response. Authors in [10] suggested
estimating the stiffness of framed building by simply adding
separate bending stiffness of all the floor slabs. Authors in [11]
carried out three centrifuge tests which were performed to study the effects of a multi propped deep excavation on the
behavior of piles in dry Toyoura sand. It was concluded that lateral restraints imposed on pile head have a significant
influence on the induced pile bending moment which can exceed the pile bending capacity. Authors in [12] proposed an
analytical method to evaluate the reduction of capacity and increase in settlement of a nearby pile during excavation. The pile
settlement due to excavation depends on the shaft friction of the pile and the soil movement pattern. Authors in [13]
studied the lateral response of a pile group using a finite element method and concluded that pile bending moment and lateral
deformation increase significantly with increase in excavation depth. Authors in [29, 32] developed design charts
for the computation of the lateral behaviour of a single pile
existing nearby a deep excavation in soft ground. Before
carrying out the multi-strutted deep excavation, the numerical
model and its soil parameters were calibrated by using the
centrifuge test results and the triaxial tests reported in [15, 18].

The aim of this study is to give an insight into the tilting
behavior and settlement of a pile group by making changes in
pile length, excavation depth, supporting system stiffness, pile
group distance from the excavation, permeability, initial
working load, and soil state. In addition to that, the continuous
changes in excess pore water pressure and long term settlement
of the pile group having different positions of pile toe relative
to the final excavation level are studied. Authors in [17] found
that the maximum apparent earth pressure for the upper 10% of
height exceeded the trapezoidal boundary of the apparent earth
pressure diagrams for both soft clay and stiff clay that were
initially proposed. No significant difference among the
apparent earth pressure values of excavation supported by wall
different stiffness was found. Authors in [11] carried out
field studies on a 10m deep multi-propped excavation in over-
consolidated and fissured Gault clay comparing measured and
Peck’s earth pressure. The measured values were near to the
lower bound of Peck’s chart. The strut load of the lowest prop
was found to be smaller to some extent due to the low lateral
stress in the ground following the construction of the diaphragm wall. Authors in [17] studied numerically a case
where the clear distance between piles and the 0.8m thick
diapragm wall was 3m. There was reasonable agreement
between the measured and predicted results regarding the
location of maximum deflection and bending moment. Authors
in [28] concluded that computed bending moments in the step-
tapered piles based on upper-bound finite element results were
smaller than their moment capacity, indicating that the actual
moments in the piles were not large enough to cause cracking.
Apart from field monitoring, this problem has been
investigated by means of centrifuge modeling in soft Kaolin
clay [18]. Authors concluded that the distance between pile and
diapragm wall is an important parameter which plays a major
role on generated bending moment in the pile. In the presence
of initial applied load, the soil surrounding the pile foundation
experiences higher stress level before the starting of adjacent
evacuation. The excavation induced stress released in the
ground induced bending moment and settlement.

Continuum numerical methods using finite-element computer programs offer powerful tools to model complex
construction processes, including deep excavations. The ability
to predict excavation-induced ground movements reliably,
however, is wholly dictated by the input of representative
parameters for the soil and the other structural components of
the excavation [6, 8]. Existing numerical codes are extremely
demanding of such prior information. A practical alternative to
complement numerical analysis is to discover mechanisms of
behavior by means of model tests and to use them to
understand the performance of buildings when subjected to
excavation-induced deformations. In order to observe these
mechanisms, authors in [24, 25] studied small-scale models
tested in a 8m diameter geotechnical centrifuge machine at the
Cambridge University. The aim of these centrifuge tests was to
simulate the excavation-soil-building interaction and to
understand the mechanisms involved, rather than modeling a
specific prototype. Therefore, it was decided to use sand for all
the centrifuge tests due to the significantly smaller time
required in preparation before testing compared to clay. An
evacuation system, which adopted a new technique for
simulating the excavation process in-flight, was developed to
investigate the interaction between soil and model buildings.
The test results provided new insight into the fundamental
mechanisms involved. In this paper, a detailed description of
the centrifuge models (and associated test procedures) is
presented followed by presentation of results from two key
centrifuge tests.

Most of the previous research was focused on the damaging
effects to structures founded on shallow foundation due to
evacuation. Surface structures were usually oversimplified as
equivalent elastic beams, which does not represent the behavior
of a framed building realistically. The damaging effects on
piles due to excavation were rarely reported. Structural
components such as piles, beams and columns were assumed as
elastic. So, the main objective of this study is to estimate the
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was conducted. The angular distortion and crack pattern in the framed building are reported and discussed.

II. THREE-D COUPLED CONSOLIDATION ANALYSIS

A. Excavation Description

The 12m deep excavation is carried out nearby a building at a vertical interval of 3m. A diaphragm wall having depth of 18m with 0.6m is used to support the soil mass on the excavation side. The clear distance between diaphragm and an adjacent pile is kept 3m. The wall penetration depth to excavation depth ratio is typically 0.5 to 2 \[22\], so a value of 0.5 is taken in this study. Props are used at the vertical interval of 3m along the excavated soil mass to support the diaphragm wall. The props are modeled with axial rigidity of \(81 \times 10^3 \text{kNm} \[17\]. The horizontal spacing of props is 8m. Figure 1 illustrates the geometry of a typical case with excavation depth of 12m.

![Geometry of the problem adopted in this study](image)

**Fig. 1.** Geometry of the problem adopted in this study

B. Finite Element Mesh and Boundary Conditions

Figure 2 describes the finite element mesh used in this case with size of 20m×25m×22m. In this three-dimensional parametric study, solid elements (with 8-node trilinear continuum) were used to model soil, pile and diaphragm wall. Solid continuum elements are small material blocks. They can be used to build models of nearly any shape subjected to different loading because they are connected to each other like bricks in a building or tiles in a mosaic. Each node of a solid continuum element has three degrees of freedom for describing displacements in \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) directions and one degree of freedom for taking pore pressure into account. The props were modeled by using truss elements which are long, slender structural members that can transmit only axial force but cannot transmit moment. Each truss element node has two degrees of freedom, one for displacement and one for rotation. To restrain the horizontal and vertical movement of sides, roller supports were applied. The base of the mesh was restrained in every direction by applying pin/fix supports on it. The ground water table level was taken at ground surface. At geostatic state, pore pressure distribution was taken as hydrostatic. The top of the mesh was considered drainage boundary. The frictional interface was taken between pile and soil and wall and soil. The interface was modeled by the Coulomb’s friction law, in which the interface friction coefficient (\(\mu\)) and limiting displacement (\(\gamma_{\text{lim}}\)) are the input parameters. Interface friction coefficient of 0.35 and limiting shear displacement of 5mm were assumed to achieve full mobilisation of the interface friction \[19\]. Excavation was carried out by deactivating soil elements within the zone of excavation. Activation of truss elements procedure was adopted for prop installation on the wall.

**Fig. 2.** Finite element mesh and boundary conditions

C. Constitutive Model and its Parameters’ Hypoplastic Model

A hypoplastic constitutive model was used to capture soil behavior. It is an advanced model which is able to capture the unique features of soil as non-linear behavior, degradation of stiffness and stress-strain dependent dilatancy of soil. Basically nonlinear behavior within the range of strain level of medium to large (due to monotonic loading) of the granular material is captured by using the basic hypoplastic model \[20\-22\]. There are five parameters (\(N\), \(\lambda\), \(\kappa\), \(\phi\), and \(r\)) required for the basic model. The parameters \(N\) and \(\lambda\) control the normal compression line location and its slope in \(\ln(1 + e)\) vs \(\ln p’\) diagram. Similarly, the parameter \(\kappa\) is used to regulate the slope of the unloading line. The value of \(r\) is responsible for controlling the large shear strain modulus. The critical state friction angle is represented by \(\phi_\circ\). Authors in \[31\] further implemented the concept of intergranular strain to improve the basic hypoplastic model. Moreover, five additional parameters (required by the intergranular strain) were included into the basic model for considering the strain and path dependency of soil stiffness: \(R\), \(\beta_r\), \(\gamma\), \(m_\tau\), and \(m_N\); \(\beta_r\) and \(\gamma\) determine the rate of stiffness degradation and \(R\) is an elastic range. \(K_o\) (coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest) was calculated by Jaky’s equation:

\[K_o = (1 - \sin \phi')\]

(1)
Hypoplastic clay model parameters are given in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical state angle, $\phi'$</td>
<td>22°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope of normal compression line, $\lambda^*$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope of unloading line, $x^*$</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of normal compression line, $N$</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear stiffness at medium to large strain level parameter, $\epsilon$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial shear stiffness with 180° strain path, $m_0$</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial shear stiffness with 90° strain path, $m_r$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic range, $R$</td>
<td>$1\times10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of degradation of stiffness with strain, $\beta$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degradation rate of stiffness with strain, $\gamma$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Void ratio at initial condition, $e$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-efficient of permeability, $k$ (m/s)</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Concrete Damaged Plasticity (CDP) Model

The CDP model was used to capture cracking behavior in the concrete beams, columns and piles. The CDP model provides a general capability for modeling concrete and other quasi-brittle materials in all types of structures (beams, trusses, shells, and solids). This model uses concepts of isotropic damaged elasticity in combination with isotropic tensile and compressive plasticity to represent the inelastic behavior of concrete. It can be used for plain concrete, even though it is intended primarily for the analysis of reinforced concrete structures and used with rebar to model concrete reinforcement. It is designed for applications in which concrete is subjected to monotonic, cyclic, and dynamic loading under low confining pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young's Modulus, $E$</td>
<td>35GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's ratio, $\nu$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, $\rho$</td>
<td>2400k/g/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Numerical Modeling Procedure

Numerical analysis modeling procedure for a typical case is summarized as:

Step 1: Set up the initial boundary and initial stress conditions (i.e. static stress conditions with varying $K_0=0.63$).

Step 2: Activate the brick elements representing single pile (modeled as wished-in-place).

Step 3: The construction of the two-floor RCC building was carried out as follows: (a) Construct the plinth beam by activating its elements on the single piles. (b) Erect the ground floor columns on the plinth beam followed by ground floor beams by activating their elements. (c) Similarly, construct the columns and beams of the first floor of the frame.

Step 4: Allow excess pore pressure, which generated in result of application of load of the frame on the pile, to dissipate.

Step 5: Activate the brick elements representing the diaphragm wall.

Step 6: Simulate staged multi-propped excavation. After excavating to 3m depth, the first level of props is installed at 1m below the ground surface.

Step 7: Repeat step 6 to excavate the next stages and install props until the last stage of excavation (i.e. $H_e=12$m) is completed.

III. INTERPRETATION OF COMPUTED RESULTS

A. Induced Frame Angular Distortion due to Excavation

Angular distortion (which is defined as shearing distortion of the frame) can be induced in the frame due to the adjacent excavation. Mathematically, angular distortion is calculated as:

Angular distortion = Slope − Tilting.

Figure 3 shows the induced angular distortion in the two-floor frame (i.e. Bay 1 and Bay 2) due to excavation-induced stress release. The horizontal axis represents the normalized excavation depth and the vertical axis shows the angular distortion induced in the Bays. The angular distortion at any vertex is taken positive if the frame is compressed diagonally at that vertex.

![Fig. 3. Induced angular distortion in the frame due to excavation](image-url)
Bay 2 were compressed and elongated during excavation, respectively. This reason can be attributed to the differential settlement and tilting of the frame due to excavation induced stress release. Consequently, the column CF of the frame (in Bay 2) is subjected to tension towards its inner side. As a result, the tension crack can be induced at the inner side of the column (discussed below).

B. Progressive Development of Tension-Induced Crack Pattern in the Frame

As discussed above, angular distortion is induced in the frame. As a result, tension is developed in the columns of Bay 1 and Bay 2. Figure 4 illustrates the development of tension-induced cracks (i.e. crack pattern) in the plinth beam and columns on excavation completion. For reference, the tension damage before excavation is included in the figure. The tension damage is expressed in terms of $d_i$ (i.e. DAMAGET) in the numerical modeling. The values of $d_i$ range from 0 to 1 where zero represents no damage and one complete damage in tension induced in structural member, respectively. As expected, no tension damage (DAMAGET=0) is developed in the frame before excavation. However, as excavation progresses, damage failure is induced in the plinth beam and the lower part of the ground floor columns. On excavation completion, the magnitude of scale of damage ($d_{max}$) became as high as 0.97 in the bottom layer of the portion of the plinth beam resting on the pile. This suggests that the induced maximum tensile stress in that portion of the plinth beam exceeded the yield stress of the pile. Consequently, the stiffness and strength of the concrete degraded significantly.

IV. CONCLUSION

Induced slope and tilting are not equal. Consequently, the frame was distorted. As a result, tension cracks were induced at the inner side of the column. On completion of the excavation, the magnitude of $d_i$ became as high as 0.97 in the bottom layer of the portion of the plinth beam resting on the pile.
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