Effect of Maximum Aggregate Size on the Bond Strength of Reinforcements in Concrete
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Abstract—The bond between reinforcements and concrete is the only mechanism that transfers the tensile stresses from concrete to reinforcements. Several factors including chemical adhesion, roughness and reinforcement interface and bar bearing affect the bond strength of reinforcements with concrete. This work was carried out considering another varying factor which is maximum aggregate size. Four mixes of concrete with similar compressive strengths but different maximum aggregate sizes of 25.4mm, 19.05mm, 12.7mm and 9.53mm were used with the same bar size of 16mm. Compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and bond strength for each concrete mix were studied. Test results depict a slight increase in compressive and splitting tensile strength with decrease in maximum aggregate size. The bond strength remained at the same level with decrease in maximum aggregate size except at maximum aggregate size of 9.53mm when there was a drop in bond strength, despite better compressive and splitting tensile strengths. ACI-318 and FIB-2010 codes equation for bond strength calculation work well only when the maximum aggregate size is 12.7mm and above. Therefore, maximum aggregate size is critical for bond strength when smaller size aggregates are used.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The role of the bond of reinforcement in concrete is of great importance. When the concrete member is loaded, tensile stresses from steel to concrete are transferred through the bond. Therefore, to ensure the safety of concrete, proper bonding between reinforcing steel and concrete is essential. When the concrete member cracks, tensile stresses are resisted by the reinforcement, reinforcement slip occurs which is resisted by friction and reinforcement bearing producing bond stresses [1]. Bond behavior of steel reinforcements with concrete is an important aspect which affects the performance of reinforced concrete [2-6]. There are three main components of bond between reinforcements and concrete: friction, chemical adhesion and mechanical interlocking of deformations in steel bars. The factors affecting bond strength include strength and cover of concrete around reinforcement, geometry and yielding strength of reinforcement, embedded length, type of aggregates and admixtures used [1, 7-10].

An increase of up to 20% in pullout load is reported with increase of concrete cover depth from 40mm to 70mm [9]. Studying the effect of concrete cover on bond behavior, it is reported that the bond strength increases but the rate of increase decreases with the increase of concrete cover [10]. Thus when concrete cover increases, initially the increase in bond strength is more pronounced to further increase in concrete cover. Authors in [11] investigated the bond behavior of reinforcements in lightweight self-compacting concrete and reported 30% lower bond strength for all lightweight SCC compared to normal weight concrete. Corrosion also plays an important role in the bond performance. It is reported that there is a decrease in bond strength when corroded reinforcements are used [12]. For this purpose protective layers against corrosion may be used. It is reported that, galvanization of reinforcements can improve its resistance against carbonation and can extend the life of reinforced concrete structures [13]. Investigating the effect of bar size on the bond strength of reinforcements in concrete, it is reported that bond strength of smaller bars (10mm diameter) is 21% higher than that of the larger bars (20mm) [1]. Size of aggregate may be another bond influencing factor. To the best of our knowledge, there is limited literature available on the effect of aggregate size on the bond strength. Therefore, this study is conducted to investigate the effect of aggregate size on the bond behavior of reinforcements in concrete. Bond strength is calculated by dividing the maximum pullout load by the surface area of the reinforcement bar given by (1).

$$\mu = \frac{P}{\pi d_b l_d} \quad (1)$$

where $\mu$ is bond strength, $P$ is the maximum pullout load, $l_d$ and $d_b$ are embedded length and diameter of bar used.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

A. Materials Used

Normal weight fine aggregates and crushed coarse aggregates, supplied by a local material supplier, were used. Four different coarse aggregates having different maximum aggregate sizes i.e. 9.5mm, 12.5mm, 19mm, and 25mm were used (Figure 1). The maximum sizes of the aggregates were...
selected on the basis of ASTM standard sieves. CEM-I 42.5N cement, supplied by a local cement manufacturer (Cherat cement) was used for all the concrete mixes.

**Fig. 1.** Coarse aggregates

### B. Experimental Program

Fresh and hardened concrete properties were investigated for each mix. Workability and density were investigated on the fresh concrete while compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and bond strength were studied on the hardened concrete. Workability and density were found using [14] and [15] standards. Six cylinder specimens 100mm in diameter and 200mm in height were casted from each concrete mix and cured in water tank as defined in [16]. Three cylinders of each mix were tested for compressive strength as per [17] at 28 days concrete age, by application of constant loading rate of 0.25MPa/sec. Three cylinder specimens of each concrete were tested for splitting tensile strength as per [18] at the concrete age of 28 days by load application at constant rate of 1MPa/min. Three cube samples were casted embedded with 16mm diameter bars to test the bond strength as per [19]. The size of cube was kept at 150mmx150mmx150mm which is the most common cube size in concrete tests. These samples were cured in water tank for 28 days and tested in pullout test with pullout load applied at a constant rate of 0.1KN/sec. Pullout test is a widely practiced and easy to perform test used to investigate the bond strength. It has been used by different researchers to study the factors affecting bond strength i.e. concrete compressive strength, size and geometry of reinforcement bars and active and passive confinement [20, 21]. The pull-out sample and testing assembly are shown in Figure 2.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Concrete Mix Design

Trial mixes were conducted to finalize the concrete mix with maximum aggregate size of 25mm and target 28 day compressive strength of 20MPa. From previous studies [22-24] and trials of concrete compressive strength tests of each mix, it was observed that with the decrease in maximum aggregate size of coarse aggregates the compressive strength of concrete increases. The study aims at investigating the effect of aggregate size on the bond strength keeping all the other parameters constant. Therefore, to achieve similar compressive strengths and compensate for this variation of compressive strength due to change in maximum aggregate size, the cement quantity was reduced by 2% for every consecutive reduction of coarse aggregate maximum size with increase in w/c ratio by 0.005. Mix compositions for all the mixes are summarized in Table I. The concrete mixes containing maximum aggregate sizes of 25mm, 19mm, 12.5mm and 9.5mm were nominated as Mix-25, Mix-19, Mix-12.5 and Mix-9.5 respectively.

**Fig. 2.** Pull-out specimen and testing arrangement

#### TABLE I. CONCRETE MIX COMPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete type</th>
<th>Cement (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Coarse aggregate (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Fine aggregate (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Water (kg/m³)</th>
<th>w/c ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix-25</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-19</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-12.5</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-9.5</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Fresh Concrete Properties**

The test results for fresh concrete properties are presented in Table II. Test results for fresh concrete properties indicate decrease in slump with decrease in maximum aggregate size while concrete density remains unchanged. The relation is graphically represented in Figure 3.

**TABLE II. FRESH CONCRETE PROPERTIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete type</th>
<th>Maximum Coarse Aggregate Size (mm)</th>
<th>Slump (mm)</th>
<th>Concrete density (Kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix-25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. Hardened Concrete properties**

The test results for hardened concrete properties of all the concrete types are presented in Table III. Instances of the tests of compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and pull-out strength tests that were conducted in the lab are shown in Figure 4.

1) **Compressive Strength**

The tests results for compressive strength of all concrete mixes are summarized in Table III. Although the cement
content decreased intentionally with decrease in maximum aggregate size to keep the compressive strengths of all concretes at the same level in order to study only the effect of maximum aggregate size on bond strength, there is still indication of increase in concrete compressive strength when the maximum aggregate size in concrete reduces. The relation is graphically shown in Figure 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete type</th>
<th>Maximum Coarse Aggregate Size (mm)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (Mpa)</th>
<th>Splitting Tensile strength (Mpa)</th>
<th>Bond strength (Mpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix-25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21.82</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Bond Strength

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effect of maximum aggregate size on the bond strength of reinforcements with concrete. Results for bond strength are summarized in Table III calculated using (1). Results indicate no major change in bond strength with decrease in maximum aggregate size. However, surprisingly, there is a drop in bond strength when maximum aggregate size of 9.5mm was used despite possessing the highest compressive and splitting tensile strengths among all the concretes used. The reason may be the decrease in locking provided by small aggregates to the pulled out bar. The failure pattern in all pullout specimens was by splitting of the concrete cover which is caused by wedging effect of bar deformations as shown in Figure 7.

The bond strength experimental results were compared with those calculated from different equations in the literature. Four different equations were considered: (2)-(5) taken from [25-28] respectively.

\[
\tau_{\text{v,split}} = \eta_2 \cdot 6.54 \cdot (f_{ct})^{0.25} \cdot \left( \frac{20}{\Phi} \right)^{0.2} \cdot \left( \frac{c_{\text{min}}}{f_{ct}} \right)^{0.33} \cdot \left( \frac{c_{\text{min}}}{c_{\text{max}}} \right)^{0.1} + 8K_n \]  

(2)

\[
\frac{T}{f_{ct}^2} = A_c \cdot f_{ct} \cdot \left[ 1.43 \cdot (C_{\text{min}} + 0.54d_c) + 57.4A_c \cdot (0.1 \cdot \frac{C_{\text{min}}}{C_{\text{max}}} + 0.9) \right] 
\]  

(3)
\[ \frac{u_c}{f'_c} = 0.10 + 0.25 \frac{c_{\text{min}}}{d_b} + 4.15 \frac{d_b}{l_d} \]  

(4)

\[ U = u_c \left[ \frac{1 + \frac{1}{1.85 + 0.024 \sqrt{d_b}} \left( 0.88 + 0.12 \frac{c_{\text{mid}}}{c_{\text{min}}} \right)}{1.0} \right] \]  

(5)

### Table IV. Experiment and Calculated Bond Strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete type</th>
<th>Bond strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix-25</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-19</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-12.5</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-9.5</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results indicate that there is no major impact of aggregate size on the bond strength of reinforcements in concrete when higher maximum aggregate sizes are used. Equations (2) and (3) (from [25, 26]) are extremely good in predicting bond strength values while (4) and (5) (from [27, 28]) give slight conservative results. However, when the size of maximum aggregate reduces below 10mm, there is drop in experimental bond strength results despite better compressive and tensile strength which may be due to lower resistance provided by smaller size aggregates to pulled out bars. This drop is not reflected in the equations used for bond strength calculations. As all the specimens failed by splitting, this phenomenon may have been more pronounced if concrete cover was increased to initiate pure pullout instead of specimen splitting. In that case, bigger size aggregates may induce more resistance to bars pulling out by locking compared to smaller size aggregates. The comparison of all values is shown in Figure 8. The percentage variations of equation values were calculated with respect to the experimental results and are summarized in Table V. Equations (2) and (3) fit really well with the experimental values with less than 2% variation when 12.5mm and above maximum aggregate sizes are used. However, when the maximum aggregate size of 9.5mm was used, the variation was above 10%. The variations of (3), (4) with respect to the experimental values were in the range of ±5-7% for all values.

### Table V. Percentage Variations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete type</th>
<th>Percentage variations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix-25</td>
<td>1.42% 1% -5.4% -6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-19</td>
<td>0 -0.6% -6% -7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-12.5</td>
<td>0.76% 0  -5.2% -7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix-9.5</td>
<td>11% 10.5% 4.6% 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Conclusions

The following conclusions have been drawn based on the experimentally performed study:

- The workability of concrete decreases with decrease in maximum aggregate size used.
- With increase in maximum aggregate size used in concrete, the compressive strength and splitting tensile strength decreases.
- When higher maximum aggregate size of coarse aggregates values are used in concrete, there is no variation in bond strength but it reduces when maximum aggregate size of less than 10mm is used. This may be due to lower locking provided to pulled out bar by smaller aggregates. This may be more pronounced if splitting of samples is avoided by increasing concrete cover.
- Equations taken from [25, 26] for bond strength calculation work extremely well with higher maximum aggregate size concretes but do not work well when lower maximum aggregate sizes (<10mm) are used. Aggregate size effect may be introduced in these equations for lower maximum aggregate size concretes to better reflect the actual bond strength.
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