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Abstract—An important feature of a Wide-Area Measurement System (WAMS) is the ability to recover data during a communication failure. This paper presents a novel scheme of partitioning a PMU installed power network into a number of WAMS regions in order to make the power system restoration process simpler. This algorithm also proposes the optimal placement of Phasor Data Concentrators (PDCs) in each region to record the data from PMUs. This paper considers the restoration constraints like transformer equivalent bus, generation-load balance and the observability of region for the partitioning of power system. The proposed scheme is demonstrated with an IEEE-30 bus system. It is then applied on IEEE-39, IEEE-118 bus systems and on a Northern Regional Grid of the Indian Power Grid.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Blackouts are obviously the most dangerous outages that may happen in a power system, that call for immediate restoration [1]. This can be achieved by a restoration strategy called build-up strategy [2]. A delay in restoration causes damages to consumers and subjects the system to many economic and political costs. The build-up strategy recommended here follows a mutual interconnection of islands after restoring them separately. The build-up strategy and its importance are clearly explained in [2-5]. But sectionalizing a network into separate islands was not considered. A scheme was suggested in [6] to sectionalize a network into separate islands, but it failed to produce stable islands as it didn’t consider the generation-load constraints during initial partitioning. Generally, during restoration, a circuit breaker between two buses should not be closed with a larger phase angle because it may damage the system by causing unwanted outages. Moreover, if the Phasor Measurement Unit (PMU) installed power system is partitioned, it may lose its observability because of the line openings between islands.

The present paper proposes a restoration based sectionalizing method for a PMU installed network. It is a model based algorithm that can handle even large-scale networks. Unlike [6], it considers power generation-load balance constraints during system initial partitioning. It also considers observability constraints at the final stage of the sectionalizing process. These two constraints have really increased the stability and observability of feasible islands. This would probably help power companies in protecting power systems from large catastrophes like blackouts just by isolating the islands that are being faulted. At the end it also suggests an optimal location strategy for Phasor Data Concentrators (PDCs) to provide phase angle data across the lines between any two islands. The proposed algorithm is demonstrated using the IEEE-30 bus system and then applied to the IEEE-39 and IEEE-118 bus systems and to a Northern Region of the Indian Power Grid.

II. OPTIMAL PMU PLACEMENT

A PMU gives synchronized measurements of electrical parameters like voltage, current and frequency of the bus on which it is installed. This section will optimize the number of PMUs required for observing the system completely. Actually, this optimization problem was introduced in [7]. Many heuristic methods like Genetic Algorithms [8], Particle Swarm Optimization [9], Hybrid Genetic Particle Swarm Optimization [10] and many others have been applied since. Meta-heuristic methods such as Binary Imperialistic Competition Algorithm (BICA) [11], Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Algorithm [12] and Firefly Algorithm [13] have also been employed. An Integer Linear Programming (ILP) is developed in [14] for system complete observability. This was extended with and without conventional measurements in [15] and [16] respectively to include the zero-injection bus (ZIB) effect. Recently, researchers presented an integer quadratic based PMU placement policy [17]. An accurate solution for complete observability was presented in [18] using a participation factor-based method. In this paper it is assumed that the PMU placement has been conducted before partitioning the network. The Optimal PMU Placement problem is carried out using the Binary Cuckoo Search (BCS) technique. The PMU locations obtained are tabulated in Table I.

The problem is formulated as below.

\[
\text{Minimize } \sum_{q \in N} x_q \tag{1}
\]

Subjected to

\[
\sum_{q \in N} x_q \leq s
\]
Where, \( s_p(X) \geq 1, \forall p \in N \) \( (2) \)

Where

\[ s_p = \sum_{q<p} c_{pq} x_q, \forall p \in N \]

(3)

Here, (1) is the objective function for the optimal PMU placement; \( S_p \) represents observability function at bus \( p \). The parameter \( c_{pq} \) from (3) gives the binary connectivity of all buses in the network. For the bus to be observable, it must be connected to at least on bus which is installed with PMU. The value of \( S_p \) will be equal to or greater than 1. It can be defined as,

\[ C_{pq} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = q \\ 1, & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are connected} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]  

(4)

### TABLE I. PMU LOCATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test system</th>
<th>Locations of PMUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEEE-30</td>
<td>2,3,6,9,10,12,15,19,25,27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE-39</td>
<td>2,6,9,10,12,14,17,20,22,23,26,29,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE-118</td>
<td>2,5,9,12,15,17,21,34,29,25,27,32,45,37,42,49,56,101,105,85,86,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRIP</td>
<td>74,85,104,92,69,58,79,46,50,54,45,80,71,65,72,11,5,76,97,65,23,68,70,71,67,80,94,101,105,85,90,86,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. CONSTRAINTS FOR WAMS POWER SYSTEM RESTORATION

After sectionalizing the network, each island should be independently observable. For this, the process of network partition should be undergone three constraints like transformer equivalent bus, generation-load balance and observability of each island. The constraints will be explained as follows.

A. Generation-Load balance

This constraint ensures that there should be enough amount of active power to all the loads in each island. It can be represented as,

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{Gi} > \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{Li} , \quad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., r. \]  

(5)

Where, \( r \) represents the number of regions, \( n \) represents the number of buses in each island, \( P_{Gi} \) gives maximum generation at \( i^{th} \) bus and \( P_{Li} \) gives the load at \( i^{th} \) bus. This constraint must be satisfied in each-iteration of network partitioning.

B. Joining the islands on either sides of transformer

For the individual regions to run independently, it is required that the transformer and its buses should be allocated to the same region. This can be achieved by joining the islands present on either sides of transformers. It is a constraint that will be applied only after partitioning the complete system initially.

C. Observability of islands

This constraint will be checked by

\[ A_p x_p \geq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \geq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

(6)

Where, the matrix \( A_p \) gives the system connectivity of the \( p^{th} \) region. It can be calculated from (7). The vector \( x_p \) of the \( p^{th} \) region is the binary variable vector which can be calculated from (4), \( k \) is the number of buses in the \( p^{th} \) island. This is the last constraint that will be applied only after checking the second constraint. This constraint needs to be satisfied by each island, otherwise the island is said to be unobservable.

IV. PROPOSED NETWORK SECTIONALIZING

Here, the partition method is illustrated by considering an IEEE-30 bus system as shown in Figure 1. After considering the system, the first step is to identify the number of islands. For this, let us consider the network connectivity matrix ‘A’ whose elements can be defined as,

\[ a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \text{ or buses } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(7)

During the restoration, after the blackout, all the regions which are stable must be connected together to restore the system completely. Moreover, the number of islands decides the restoration time. So, while partitioning the system it should be assured that each region should have at least one generator and an allowable load connected to it. The number of regions can be selected as follows,
\[ L_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is a load bus at bus } i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10) \]

\[ M = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if bus } i \text{ has PMU} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11) \]

After selecting the number of regions (r), a matrix \( R \) called regional matrix will be formulated. \( R \) is a \( r \times n \) matrix in which each row corresponds to one region. Initially all elements in every-row of the matrix \( R \) set to 0 except for the buses with generator with affordable load and the corresponding load buses. Then, the next order regional matrix can be calculated using (12) given below.

\[ R_k = R_{k-1} \times A, k > 1 \quad (12) \]

Where \( A \) is system connectivity matrix, \( R_{k-1} \) is \( R_k \) after corrections. This equation will be calculated iteratively until all the nodes (or buses) of the system were assigned. The corrections needed for higher order regional matrix will be defined as below:

1. The node once assigned, it should not be reassigned to any other region in the next iteration.
2. If any entry in the regional matrix is greater than unity, it should be corrected to 1 before the matrix is considered for next order regional matrix.
3. If a node appears in one region then it can be assigned to that particular region provided this correction should satisfy (5), otherwise the entry should be reset before it is being considered for next iteration.
4. If a node appears for more than one region, based on the nature of the bus it can be assigned to a region as follows:
   - **Load bus:**
     - If a load bus appears in more than two regions, then it should be assigned to the one with the smaller power rating that could afford the load. The goal is to increase the number of islands and hence to reduce the restoration time.
     - If there is no single region that could supply the load alone, the regions that are incident to load bus should be combined in order to supply the load.
   - **Zero-Injection Bus (ZIB):**
     - If a ZIB appears in more than one regions then it should be assigned to the region with the smaller power rating. The goal is to increase the number of regions.
   - **Generator bus:**
     - If a generator bus appears in more than one regions, then it is suggested to assign it to the region with the smaller power rating. The goal is to improve the stability of an island.

V. FINAL PARTITION OF THE NETWORK

For the islands to be observable, (6) needs to be verified. If it is not satisfied, it means some of the buses whose entries represent '0' need to be made observable by moving them into their adjacent islands provided the restoration constraints would not be disturbed. While making the unobservable buses observable, few situations that may arise will be handled as follows:

- **Unobservable bus is a Load/Generator bus:** the bus is then moved to an island via boundary line where it would be made observable provided this movement should not disturb the restoration constraints one and two. If this change causes violation of any constraint then the home island and the target island need to be joined.
- **Unobservable bus is ZIB:** If the unobservable bus is ZIB then it should be moved to a region where it would be observable.

The flowchart of the proposed methodology is shown in Figure 2.

VI. PHASOR DATA CONCENTRATOR PLACEMENT

PDC archives the data from multiple PMUs installed over a wide area and aligns it for analytics/visualization. So, this must be placed in every island to provide certain functions like wide-area monitoring and protection. This section presents a new methodology to place PDCs in each island optimally with respect to the PMUs of that particular island. The problem of PDC placement can be defined as follows:
Minimize
\[ S = \sum_{j=1}^{r} x_p \] (13)

Subjected to
\[ s_p \geq 1 \] (14)

Where
\[ s_p = \sum_{j=1}^{cij} c_{ij} x_p \] (15)

\[ c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if bus } i \text{ has PMU, or } j \text{ is PMU observed bus} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (16)

Where, \( x_p \) is a binary PDC placement variable, \( s_p \) is a PMU placed constraint function at bus \( p \), \( c_{ij} \) is a network path connectivity matrix. Once the network is partitioned, this method will be applied for all the islands.

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The partition method is illustrated by considering an IEEE-30 bus system as shown in Figure 1. After identifying the number of islands as 6, the initial regional matrix becomes \( R_1 \) as shown in (17). And, the second order uncorrected regional matrix will be obtained as (18). On applying the corrections suggested in section 4, the second order regional matrix of IEEE-30 bus system is obtained as (19). The final regional matrix is obtained as (20). From this equation it is quite clear that the regions \( r3 \) and \( r5 \) were combined so as to supply the load at bus 19. So, the system is portioned into 5 stable regions as shown in Figure 3. The system presented in Figure 3 inherently exhibits generation-load balance as the first constraint is satisfied. Next, the regions that present on the either side of transformer need to be joined in order to satisfy the second restoration constraint. So, regions \( r2, r3 \) (or \( r5 \)) will be joined.
On applying the final portioning step for the system obtained above, the set of regions \((r3, r6)\), \((r2, r6)\) are combined via boundary lines because the target island \((r6)\) individually cannot afford the loads at buses 24 and 8. Figure 4 represents the final network after all these modifications. And, it infers that the island \(r3\) covers larger. This is because of the fact that the generation in this region is accumulated whereas the load is distributed.

The locations of PDCs obtained on applying the PDC placement algorithm are shown in Table II. On applying the proposed scheme to a IEEE-39 system initially, it is clear that bus 18 is not observable and also it is found that it can be made observable by the PMU on bus 17. Moreover, as the island \(r2\) is able to afford the load at bus 18, it has been moved to region \(r2\). Figures 5 and 6 show the initial and final partitioning of IEEE-39 test system respectively. Table III gives the regions, PMU locations and PDCs locations after final partition.

### Table II. Regional Information and PDCs Locations after Final Partitioning IEEE-30 Bus System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Buses</th>
<th>PMU Locations</th>
<th>PDC Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r1</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r2</td>
<td>2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,24,25,26,27,28,29,30</td>
<td>2,6,9,10,12,19,25,27</td>
<td>4,9,19,27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III. Regional Information and PDCs Locations after Final Partitioning IEEE-39 Bus System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Buses</th>
<th>PMU Locations</th>
<th>PDC Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r1</td>
<td>1,2,3,8,9,25,30,37,39</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r2</td>
<td>4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,31,32,33,34,35</td>
<td>6,10,12,14,17,33,29</td>
<td>11,14,17,19,22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r3</td>
<td>26,27,29,38</td>
<td>26,29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r4</td>
<td>23,24,36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Similarly for the IEEE-118 system, buses 48, 55, 59, 65, 78, 95, 106 and 107 are found to be unobserved. Bus 48 is moved to the island containing bus 49 which could observe bus 48. Similarly, buses 78 and 95 have been moved to the regions containing buses 77 and 94 respectively. Even though the island with the PMU-installed bus 56 could observe buses 55, 59 and 65, it cannot supply the required load at the respective buses. So, these two islands are combined. Similarly the island containing buses 106 and 107 is joined with an island that contains bus 105 which could make buses 105 and 107 observable. Figures 7 and 8 depict the initial and final partition of the IEEE-118 test system. Table IV contains the PMUs and PDCs location for different islands. An actual Northern Region of the Indian Power Grid (NRIP) has also been considered. Its final partition is shown in the Figure 9. The details of PMUs and PDCs are given in Table V. From the results, it is shown that this method has successfully produced more stable and observable islands which will really help countries which are yet to establish WAMS.

Fig. 7. Initial Partition of the IEEE-118 bus system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Buses</th>
<th>PMU locations</th>
<th>PDC locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r1</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,33,34,35,36,43,113,117</td>
<td>2,5,9,12,15,17,21,34</td>
<td>8,12,15,17,21,34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r3</td>
<td>23,25,26,27,32,114,115</td>
<td>25,27,32</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r6</td>
<td>24,47,68,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,116,118,82</td>
<td>72,75,77,116</td>
<td>25,69,71,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r2</td>
<td>28,29,31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r5</td>
<td>37,38,39,40,41,42,47,48,49,50,51,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68</td>
<td>37,42,49,56,62,64</td>
<td>40,66,56,64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r4</td>
<td>44,45,46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r7</td>
<td>52,53,54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r8</td>
<td>79,80,81,96,97,98,99</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r9</td>
<td>92,93,94,95,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108</td>
<td>94,101,105</td>
<td>100,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r10</td>
<td>83,84,85,88,90,91</td>
<td>85,90</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r11</td>
<td>86,87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r12</td>
<td>109,110,111,112</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE V. REGIONAL INFORMATION AND PDCS LOCATIONS AFTER FINAL PARTITIONING NRIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Buses</th>
<th>PMU locations</th>
<th>PDC locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r1</td>
<td>84,246,94,69,131,10,8,88,74,103,85,102,83,104,95,78,92,12,82,86,91,75,70,89,80,44,57,25,46,64,55,63,39,7,6,56,58,51,54,52,49,62,4,43,71,3,72,73,179,235,60,9,11,13,5,90,96,87,88,100,110,66,98,17,93,15,99,101,76</td>
<td>74,85,104,92,80,71,45,46,5</td>
<td>5,11,49,56,78,70,6,97,115,96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. CONCLUSION

This paper assures a faster restoration process by partitioning the network into many regions which are easier to be restored during a build-up strategy. Initially this partition starts with sectionalizing the network subjecting to the generation-load balance constraint, and next these sections are reorganized to ensure the remaining two restoration
constraints. This approach provides synchronized phase angle data between any two adjacent islands thus ensuring the complete observability of all regions. This partition algorithm was applied on three different systems and sectionalized the IEEE-39 system into four regions, the IEEE-118 system into 12 islands and a Northern Region of the Indian Power Grid into 4 stable islands.

![Fig. 9. Final Partitions of the NRIP system](image)
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